

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/709,674	Applicant(s) SOTOYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner Irene Marx	Art Unit 1651	

All Participants:

(1) Irene Marx.

(2) Randall Jackson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 December 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-3

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 1 was indicated as allowable with minor editorial changes. Claims 3 and 4 were indicated as not allowable in their present form in the absence of concentration and functional limitations, for example.. Applicant preferred to receive an action on the case.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)